

ರಿಂದ ಹೊಸ ಸಿಲಿಬಸ್ ಓದತಕ್ಕಂಥ ದಾರಾಣ್ಣ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಉಂಟಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರವಾಗಿ ಈ ಕ್ಯಾರಿಟವರ್ ಸಿಸ್ಟಮ್‌ನ್ನು ಕಂಟಿನ್ಯೂ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಬಹಳ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇದನ್ನು ಯಾವಾಗ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಿ ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ನಾನು ನಿಮಗೆ ಬಹಳ ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳನ್ನು ಅರ್ಪಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—Sir, I had given a notice under Rule 312 regarding hardship caused to the students of I Year P.U.C., due to abolition of carry over system with effect from this year.

MR. SPEAKER.—I will put it tomorrow or day after tomorrow.

CALLING ATTENTION TO A MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

re : Denial of Re.-Affiliation of St. John's Medical College by the Bangalore University.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDES.—Sir, I call the attention of the Minister for Education to the denial of affiliation by the Bangalore University to the St. John's Medical College, Bangalore, just less than three weeks before the 60 I Year M.B.B.S. students are due to take their annual examinations.

SRI G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—On Saturday, I had promised that I would make a statement. Accordingly, I wish to make the following statement.

The Hon'ble Members have called the attention of Government to the denial affiliation by the Bangalore University to the St. John's Medical College, Bangalore, just less than three weeks before the 60 I Year MBBS students are due to take their annual examination.

The Syndicate of the Bangalore University after perusing the report of the Local Enquiry Committee has observed that the St. John's Medical College has not fulfilled the following conditions :

- a) Staff and clinical facilities are inadequate ;
- b) statutes, ordinances and regulations of the Bangalore University are not fully complied with;
- and
- c) conditions of affiliation laid down by the Mysore University are not fully satisfied,

In view of the above deficiencies and lapses, the Bangalore University are not recommended continuance of temporary affiliation of the during the year 1980-81.

All academic institutions seeking affiliation of the University are subject to the control of the University in accordance with the provisions of the Karnataka State Universities Act 1976 and must fulfil the conditions of affiliation as prescribed under the Universities Act. The recommendation of the Bangalore University not to grant temporary affiliation for the year 1980-81 is under the consideration of Government.

† SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ (Bharathinagar).—Sir, in the first place, I am disappointed at the statement of the Minister because four days after the notice was sent on a subject of such serious import, there is what appears to be an evasive reply or a bland reply which does not throw any light on the problem that is faced by the college and the students. The Minister has also scuttled the issue relating to the permission to the students to appear for the examination which starts from the 10th August. Having said this, I would like to seek some clarifications while doing so, I also place some facts before the Minister in regard to the three points made in the statement namely a, b and c. In (a) it is shown that the Staff and clinical facilities are inadequate. But they have not specified as to in what manner they are inadequate. Now, this has been the problem of the college. I understand that for any amount of clarification sought by the college, the University has never given any reply and any kind of investigation which the University says that it has been making, does not result in any report, or if there is any report, it is never sent to the college. So the college is kept in the dark as regards its alleged sins. The College is not at all told as to what are its sins. I understand that this college has only 261 students from the first year to the fourth year and this is in total contrast to other colleges which have 400 and odd students. This college has as many as 171 members on the teaching staff. So, the ratio is roughly 1:2. This is almost a world record. But this statement says that there is not adequate staff facilities. Then, regarding Clinical facilities, this college makes use of St. Martha's Hospital which one of the best hospitals of the city. I am sure that many many important persons sitting on the Treasury benches and on this side, Ministers, M.L.As have got themselves admitted into this hospital. Everyday, we see a number of VIP cars parked in the premises of the hospitals. This proves that this is one of the best hospitals of the city. This hospital has been made use of by this college. This college itself has developed a beautiful hospital which is expanding and which

gives 33% of the patients, free treatment. This sort of hospital which is better than any other hospital in the city, if it is regarded as not enough for the purpose of the college so far as the clinical facilities are concerned, I do not know as to which hospital they must make use of, according to the Government. Probably, the hospital which the college makes use of, does not kill too many people like the Government hospitals, Bowring Hospital or Victoria Hospital. I would like to know from the Minister as to what exactly he means by saying or does the University mean by saying or the Minister himself convinced of the accusation of the charge that the Staff and Clinical facilities are inadequate.

Then, about (b), 'statutes, ordinances and regulations of the Bangalore University are not fully complied with', the word used is 'fully'. This is again not specific as to in what manner they are not complied with. There are a few points which have been made by the University people as I have seen some papers relating to that. In the first place, the pertinent factor is that the college was set up when it was in Mysore University which was ruling and the college got affiliation from the University of Mysore in 1963. The Bangalore University was set up in 1964. So, from 1964 till 1971, without any pre-condition, the college used to get affiliation every year, year after year. I do not understand why a college has to get affiliation every year. Why there cannot be a permanent affiliation. If the college violates rule then the affiliation can be taken away. But here is a very funny situation. A college which is having same studies, same lecturers, same administration has to seek affiliation every year. Even so, the college used to seek and get affiliation every year up to 1971. In 1971, the trouble started. From 1971 till 1980, the affiliation was not given and fortunately the subject came before the present Minister of Education and he gave affiliation with retrospective effect for 8 or 9 years without any conditions. Again this is the current year and there is no affiliation. I do not know what new sins this college has committed during the last eight months. The college sought affiliation even for this year also, as early as in September 1980, and the college got reply only in July 1981. For this reason alone, you have to shut down that University. But, you are trying to shut down the college. This is a total reflection of inefficiency on the part of the University which is playing on the lives of the people of this country.

MR. SPEAKER.—The University has also Members like you,

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—Not like me.

MR. SPEAKER.—You are an hon'ble Member of the Legislative Assembly. They are hon'ble Members of the Senate and Syndicate. That is what I meant. The statement says that the University has not recommended continuance of temporary affiliation.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—I am sorry. Then, one of the conditions seems to be about Rs. 10 lakhs. The University has asked the college to deposit a capital fund of Rs. 10 lakhs. This condition apparently, was not there the beginning. It was there in the Mysore University but not in the Bangalore University. The College sought clarification regarding the rules, section or any other rules of the Bangalore University under which the amount has to be deposited. The University has not replied. I spoke to some of the college people and they told us that if it is shown essential to pay the deposit amount, they will deposit it. They are not ready to allow that money to come in their way of getting affiliation to the college. Another important point is that this institution right from the beginning was conceived of and set up as an institution run by a minority community. In the Supreme Court in 1978, there was a famous judgment in respect of a similar college in Ahmedabad also. Even in the High Court here, think in 1979, this institution was referred as a majority institution or more than the High Court referring to it, the Government's Counsel admitted before the Court that it is Minority institution. There are enough documents to show that right from the beginning this institution is referred to as the minority institution. What is more important and interesting is that even on the Government side, the Legislators, the Ministers have rightly admitted that this is a minority institution.

1-30 P. M.

But just now for the first time I understand the Chancellor namely, the Governor says it is not a minority institution. How can one person decide whether it is a minority institution or not?

MR. SPEAKER.—Do not bring the name of the Governor. Put it as the Chancellor of the University.

SRI MICHAEL FERNANDES.—I withdraw that reference. He is the Chancellor of the University.

SRI H. L. THIMME GOWDA.—Sir, I am on a point of order. Hon. Member Sri Fernandes is raising a matter which is before the High Court. To decide whether this institution is protected under Article 30 of the Constitution of India as a Minority Institution, both the College and Staff have gone to the Court and it is before the Court now. The discussion on the question of minority institution here will be sub judice.

MR. SPEAKER — The hon. Member will avoid it. It will be sub judice.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDES .— Under such circumstances, the Chancellor could not, on his own, conclude and accept that position. The hon. Chief Minister, I must give credit to him, seems to have passed an order or instructed that an Order be passed so that the students will be allowed to appear for examinations subject to other things being looked into later on namely the question of affiliation and other things. Subsequent to that, there was again a meeting called by the Chancellor and in that meeting the College was asked to agree that it was not a minority institution. Is not sub judice here? If it is sub judice inside this House, it must be much more sub judice outside. I am not proceeding on this question at the moment in deference to the hon. Speaker's.....

SRI S. R. BOMMAI .—Sir, it is a very sensitive issue and involves two questions. One question is of affiliation and another is of the students who have studied. The students have to appear for examinations. Therefore, I suggest that if the Government can positively given an assurance that the students will not be made to suffer and they will be permitted for appearing for examinations this question can be discussed in the chambers of the hon. Minister and a decision can be taken.

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM .— Sir, under Article 30 (1) of the Constitution, all minorities, whether based on religious or language, shall have the right to establish and administer educational institutions of their choice. We would like to know whether this institution is based on religious or language minorities. We have got a right to express that point. How can it be prevented because something is pending in the High Court? We are not touching the merits of the case.

MR. SPEAKER .— This very issue whether the institution is a minority institution or not is under adjudication. In cases, issues are framed. The matter that is under adjudication is whether this particular institution is a minority institution or not. You can speak on Article 30 (1) of the constitution generally. That is a different matter.

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM .— The Government, either by any Law or any Rule cannot override the Constitution. They cannot prevent the minorities from establishing their own institutions. That is the point we want to bring to the notice of the House.

MR. SPEAKER .— That is agreed.

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM .— But how should they function? It is not that they should not follow the rules. We are not suggesting that they should not follow any regulations or respond to the notifications or directions from the Government. But for institutions established under Article 30 (1) of the Constitution should be shown some concessions.

SRI G. B. SHANKAR RAO .— Under what provision ?

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM .— The point involved in this issue is, as my hon. friend put it, no specific charges are there against the institution for the University not to recommend for affiliation. In the eyes of the public it appears that the Government is interfering with the Article 30(1) of the Constitution. That should not happen. I entirely agree that all the institutions established under the Constitution or the existing Laws, must follow the rules and regulations.

SRI Y. RAMAKRISHNA .— That is all.

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM .— What I plead is if certain institutions have not followed the rules and regulations, it is the duty of the Government to remind the institutions concerned. It is the duty of the University to remind them. In this case, by the very name of the institution, it appears to me that it is established by minorities. It is the only institution of its kind in the entire country. The Government could have directed them earlier and not at the time of examinations. Let them make it clear whether they have not followed reservations according to the Government Rules or whether they have not followed other rules and regulations. Give the details. It is one of the best institutions. It is well managed. A number of good Professors are there. What is wrong with the institution then ? Unless you give the detailed information to the House the general public and the minorities will be under the impression that you are violating the Constitution. I agree that the Syndicate is unanimous. But they are subject to the discussion and review by this House. This House is supreme. As I said the other day, this is the Law making body.

MR. SPEAKER .— What the Government says is that the Syndicate has not recommended.

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM .— If the hon. Minister gives this information, it will be good not only for the House but for the entire public.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDES .— Mr. Speaker Sir, I have made some points which were directly related to the reply since the reply

is not quite sufficient and a couple of other points I would like to make so that the hon. Minister is able to answer to the notice. This is a College which does not charge any Capitation fees and such a large college has got only 60 seats because it is a minority institution run by Catholics.

SRI G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—Are they relevant points?

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDES.—Please listen to me. I will show how they are relevant from the University's own documents. 60% of the seats are kept for Catholics and 40% are kept for non-Catholics.

Only one seat is given to the Central Government. The Central Government can nominate a student. But what has happened is, somewhere along the line, a few years ago, the University demanded a quota for itself. The Government also wanted a quota for itself. The College said that they cannot give. They have also said that theirs is a minority institution and therefore they cannot give quotas to them. From that time onwards...

SRI G.B. SHANKAR RAO.—It is not borne out by facts. He is making an allegation that we have demanded a quota. We have never demanded.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—It is there in black and white with references. The Syndicate appointed a Sub Committee under Chairmanship of Sri Balakrishna Gowda to look into this question of admission and the privileges of the University. Pending consideration....

MR. SPEAKER.—What the Minister says is, whether the Government requested the institution to reserve some seats.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—I am reading out that particular point only. This is an introductory line. "Pending consideration of the final report of the sub committee, the syndicate permits the college to admit thirty students in the following manner. Twenty students may be filled (admitted) according to the rules that are existing in the College. 25% of the intake to be reserved for the Government quota. 10% of the seats to be reserved for the University quota".

MR. SPEAKER.—That is the recommendation of the Syndicate.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—This was not agreed to by the College.

MR. SPEAKER.—You have been making the allegation that the Government demanded seats. It is only a recommendation of the Syndicate.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—Alright I stand corrected to that extent.

MR. SPEAKER.—If they had accepted and the Government written to the authorities then you are within your bounds to make that allegation; otherwise not.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—I withdraw that observation. This recommendation the College did not accept. Since then as you can see the affiliation has not been granted. For eight years, it has remained ungranted. Finally the Education Minister gave it last year. I am sure he felt that the College was really doing service to the people. This college has said that it will not collect capitation fee and have a strong procedure for admitting students and has a limited number of seats. As against that, we find that the performance of this college is excellent. There is a student by name Sri Ramakrishna Bhat, who has got as many as six Gold Medals. One doctor Naresh M. Bhat by name got six Gold Medals. A girl student by name Swarna Rekha got four Gold Medals. This College gets 75% of the first ranks.

SRI G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—These are not relevant points.

MR. SPEAKER.—He says, that is a good institution.

SRI Y. RAMAKRISHNA.—Government has made it clear in the last para.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—That institution is being denied affiliation.

MR. SPEAKER.—Government in the statement has never said that institution is a bad institution. They only say that the Syndicate has recommended and therefore the affiliation is not given.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—Government, as the protector of all and particularly as it is the minority institution, has a bounden duty cast on it. I am reminded of one English Cinema, 'Coma' where in the medical profession...

MR. SPEAKER.—Important for us is whether statutes, ordinances and regulation of Bangalore University are not fully complied with. That is the matter. If you have got something to say that there has been injustice in spite of fulfilling these things, that would be a very very relevant point.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—In that cinema 'Coma', by Carbon Monoxide, patients are sent to permanent sleep. I am sure Mr. Speaker would have seen that movie. It ran for a number of months. Here, a medical institution of an extremely good type is being sought to be put to sleep like this by the University. This

is some sort of Coma which they are trying to perpetrate on this college. you will Pardon me to say that it is out of vendetta or out of some avenging attitude, affiliation is not given. Just because the recommendation of some committee set up by the University were not accepted by the College, the College is blamed of trying to be too pure in its approach to all problems. May be, in administration there are drawbacks. As Mr. Alumugham said, in an institution like this, you must bring to its notice and also help it out: on the other hand what you have done is to stifle it to death. Now I have to mention without referring to the question of the minority character of this institution or otherwise of this College, that this College has all along believed and the Government has believed and the people have believed that this is a minority institution and based on that the college has insisted that certain conditions that are being imposed on them were not applicable to them and the College has gone on replying on these lines. The University in turn gone on making investigations without giving report. How do you put the blame to-day at the door of the College when the University is at fault? This is very important because this is the sheet-anchor of the matter. Just a four-line note of the Chancellor in a file in relation to a case of one Lakshmi Srinivas who could not get seat even the full bench of the court turned out the case, holds the key according to me to much of the trouble that is now going on. In this note he has said; The St. John's Medical College will be well advised to admit this candidate immediately failing which the presumption would be that they are exercising discrimination arbitrarily and further severe remedies may have to be thought of to bring them under some proper discipline, This is the statement from the Court Judgement.

SRI. H L. THIMME GOWDA —I rise to a point of order. As alleged by Mr. Fernandez, the Chancellor is in no way connected with the admission of the student. The fact is that this student was first in the waiting list and when the last student did not come and join the college, it wrote to the University that since the last date is over whether they could admit that student. The University said that they can admit later on. They did not admit. It is not correct to read the remark of the Chancellor because it would show that the Chancellor is interested in the case...

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—My submission is that, subsequent to this, before and after this problem has arisen I cannot avoid temptation by likening this with the present fate that is sought to be imposed on this College.

MR. SPEAKER.—[In view of the explanation of Dr. Thimme Gowda she was the first in the waiting list and since last candidate in the selection list did not come and join, she should have been given supposing in that context the remark is made, how do you say that it is...]

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—As far as I know, this student came up late and the Medical Council.....

MR. SPEAKER.—This is not that much relevant to us.

SRI Y. RAMAKRISHNA.—This is not the forum to discuss such things.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—Why this is not the forum? We have discussed here private life of people here.

SRI Y. RAMAKRISHNA.—We have not denied it. We are not aware of the remark of the chancellor.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—If you are not aware of it, please refer to the judgement.

MR. SPEAKER.—That portion, which you have read, is not that much relevant for this because the Government has said that the Syndicate has not recommended because of all these things.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDES.—We are supposed to seek clarifications from the Government in respect of these things. Supposing there is some injustice done by the Government, then we bring it to the notice of the Government. I was only giving a wider aspect.

MR. SPEAKER.—If you are fully conversant with the remarks in the context in which it is made then, you would be within your powers. It may not be good also, because Hon'ble Member Dr. Thimme Gowda says. Most of the members and the Government may not be knowing.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDES.—I am enlightening you. Any way I am also not going in detail. I am presenting a wider canvas for this House about the amount of harassment faced by this college and certain features of harassment and the background of it, and I conclude. This college, at the moment, is the only college which insists on the students to have a 2 year practice in rural areas.

Sir, I will speak on this. By asking that there should be a minimum answer, after allotting papers for the examinations, one student was about to commit suicide. This is what I am told,

At the beginning of the year there is some guidance for the colleges. After that affiliation would be given. Like that affiliation was given for 8 to 9 years and therefore, the students have also

joined with that confidence. They have got ranks, won medals and they have got international scholarships and so on. I am sure there is a big waiting list of students for this year also. I appeal to the Government, through you Sir, that they must try to announce this. I am told when we met Sri Samad a few days back, and also Sri Shankar Rao on this question, that they have full sympathy for students. They gave an impression that that part of it would be taken care of.

There is another question of the Post graduation course. This is one of the most well-equipped colleges for this purpose. But, this College is not being given permission to start the P. G. Course. This is another harassment to the college of the minority community. I appeal to the Minister to make an announcement so that the institution and the students can get relief and apply their mind to the studies and get gold medals and the ranks.

SRI B. SUBBAIAH SHETTY.—Sir, here it is not an accusation on the Government at all, because in the statement it has been stated “It is considering the recommendation of the Bangalore University”. In this it has already made up its mind. What I understand is that the Government has not made up its mind. It is still pending. Whatever the statements are made or the things which are said on the floor of this House would be definitely a guidance for the Government in deciding a particular application. In this statement it has been written that a report of the Legal Enquiry Committee has observed that the St. John’s Medical College is not fulfilling the following conditions and so and so. They must intimate to the college and get the reply from that college.

SRI G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—Hon’ble Member was also the Minister previously and was in charge of Education. Every year before giving affiliation these things are observed and the institutions are made aware of it. I do not know how the Hon’ble Member now says that we have to intimate. Whenever the Inspection Committee go to the inspection to make an on-the spot study they have been telling there. It is not as if they are not aware of it. They are aware. You are also aware of it.

SRI B. SUBBAIAH SHETTY.—I am not saying that I am not aware of it. But, I am asking the Government now a specific thing whether the Syndicate have sent the letter to the University. I do not know. If they have done it is good. That is all I can say. But, another point that I would like to say is, since the Hon’ble Minister has now pointed out that it is the usual procedure, I have got a particular reason to say whether that usual procedure has

been followed in that particular case. I am not divulging. Since you have said that I was formerly in charge of Education, I am asking. Lot of things have come to my knowledge.

SRI G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—How could you keep quiet ?

SRI B. SUBBAIAH SHETTY.—Let us know whether I kept quiet or I have acted in this particular case. I am not divulging any of the informations which have come to my notice. But, one feeling which I had got that certain sections in the University are bent upon spoiling this particular college. This is my feeling. You may have different feelings altogether. But, this is the feeling which I had got.

MR. SPEAKER.—Hon'ble Member Sri Subbaih Shetty, it may not be fair on your part to pass remarks on the Members of the Syndicate or the University. You just place the facts. Let us not draw inferences. Place facts and be done with that. They are not here to defend themselves.

SRI K. BHASKAR NAIDU.—Suppose I put the question, The St. John's Medical College is known throughout our State that it is a very good college. In spite of it has not got. What is the reason ? Is it because it is not represented properly, because it is a minority Christain Community college ? Is that the way why it is treated ?

SRI B. SUBBAIAH SHETTY.—I cannot hold that inference unfortunately.

2-00 P.M.

Here another objection which has been given is, the staff and clinical facilities are inadequate. I would like to know from the Hon'ble Minister, when the University found that staff and clinical facilities are inadequate ? Whether it was found in 1964, 1965, 1970 or 1971 or whether all these years they have found it and given a notice regarding this, or whether it was found for the first time, I would like to know.

Secondly, the Statutes, Ordinance and Regulations of the Bangalore University are not fully complied with. By that it means nothing, because if this college is construed as a minority institution then there are laid down laws. Especially, if the Hon'ble Minister goes through a very elaborate Supreme Court Judgment reported in 1974 S.C. of 1380 in St. Xavier's College Vs. the State of Gujarat and in others, there the Supreme Court has laid down certain rules and regulations (or norms) regarding the minority insti-

tutions. If this is not a minority institution that is a different question altogether. But if this is a minority institution, then there are certain rules which have to be observed by the University also.

MR. SPEAKER.—My I know from the hon. member for my own sake, since you were the Minister for Education, whether this statute (B) is for all institutions or only for minority institutions.

SRI B. SUBBAYYA SHETTY.—No. It is for all. Even if it is for all what the Supreme Court says is certain regulations which might apply to some institutions, they may not be able to question it. But as regards minority institutions, those regulations will be held invalid. For instance, to choose its managing or governing body, any statute tampering with it, will not be valid. To choose its teachers, if any statute is made, it won't be valid, not to be compelled to refuse admission to students again that won't be valid against that and similarly to use the property and assets for the benefit of the institution. I am only enumerating these things which have been decided by the Supreme Court regarding the minority institutions and which are not applicable to majority institutions. For minority institutions certain protections are given under Article-30 of the Constitution which has been interpreted umpteen number of times by the Supreme Court Judgments have been given. I only appeal to the Government that this should be referred to the Law Department, which can give its opinion regarding these minority institutions. There are judgment regarding these minority institutions. For instance, I am referring to Page 269 of Basu's commentary on Constitution of India. Here it is stated :

“ Surrender of fundamental rights cannot be exacted at the price of aid doled out by the State. ,,

This another principle has been introduced while deciding whether an institution is a minority institution or not. I am not going into all things exhaustively, but I only request the Government, through you Sir, to examine all these Statutes, Ordinances and Regulations which have been set by the Bangalore University, are in conformity with Article 31 and interpretation of the Supreme Court thereof. This has to be gone into very carefully.

I do not know about the conditions laid down by the Mysore University regarding affiliations. My only submission is that, an impression should not be given, of course the Government has not given that and I am very sure about it. But the University has definitely given an impression that all is not well in only one institution, i.e., St. John's Medical College and it has been pointed. That impression which has been given has to be taken out. Further

my submission is, that 65 students, who have been denied of their examinations now, must immediately be given permission to appear for the examination and the Government should immediately interfere in this pending decision, which they are going to take; regarding affiliation.

SRI B. A. MOHIDEEN.—Sir, this is a very sensitive issue. I don't want to go in detail, because already my friends Sri Michael Fernandes and Sri Subbayya Shetty have made some valuable points here. I would like to have some specific answers from the Hon'ble Minister, whether the Government accept this as a minority institution.

MR. SPEAKER.—Why you are forcing the Government when this matter is in the Court?

SRI B. A. MOHIDEEN.—I want to know, what is the stand of the Government?

MR. SPEAKER.—It is under adjudication.....

SRI B. A. MOHIDEEN.—Another point is, whether the local enquiry Committee's report was made available to the college authorities in time? Then what immediate step the Government is going to take to remedy the problem of 62 students who have been denied of taking up their examination? Sir, we are aware by Secularism, and also it looks as if there is some cloud on the University authorities. Therefore, I appeal, why not the Government appoint a small Committee of this House to go into the matter and see that cloud is removed? Sir, I appeal though you to the Government to look into the anomalies which have been pointed out in A, B and C. I have got my own information, that people in and outside the State of Karnataka and everywhere say this is one of the best colleges in the whole of India. Then should we not go there and see? Therefore, a small Committee be appointed to look into this.

SRI G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—But they have to abide by the laws of the State and University.

SRI B. A. MOHIDEEN.—That is true. I don't say that we flout the law. But at the same time, Sri B. Subbayya Shetty and Sri Michael Fernandes have thrown some light on this problem. On the light of that you will have to take some action with an open mind. I don't want to accuse the Government that it has a closed mind. But I would like to remind the Government again that this is a very sensitive issue, because people throughout the length and breadth of the Country are looking forward for your action.

MR. SPEAKER.—Hon. Member Sri Subbayya Shetty has also pointed out that the recommendation of the Bangalore University not

to grant temporary affiliation for the year 1980-81 is under consideration of the Government. Then you cannot come to the conclusion that the Government has no open mind.

SRI WILLIAM ST. JOHN SINCLAIR MARLEY.—Mr, Speaker Sir, after having heard various points put forward, there are only two or three points which I would like to talk about. The first due is with regard to the 62 students who are in difficulty at the moment. Sir, through you would like to appeal to the Government to give this matter a very serious consideration to see that the students are not affected and if that could be done I am sure it would meet the minimum requirement of the students.

SRI WILLIAM ST. JOHN SINCLAIR MARLEY (Nominated).—Then, Sir, with regard to the affiliation there are so many points to be considered about whether it is a minority run institution and others. With your permission I would like refer to one point here that has been answered in the statement. It is mentioned that (a) Staff and clinical facilities are inadequate. I have the copy of the report and recommendation of the Indian Medical Council's. Here I would like to ask whether the Senate is overriding this recommendation. Sir, with your permission I would like to read some of the extracts : (1) St. John's Medical College is a big building with spacious place for patients and teaching staff. (2) Facilities in the St. John Medical College Hospital are excellent. (3) All the essential requirements for the satisfactory programmes are available. (b) Department of Anatomy : Staff, Physical and training facilities are adequate. Recognition may be continued. (c) Department of physiology Excellent research work is being published and carried out at present. (d) General summary and conclusion : (1) The total college complex is excellent. (2) Hospital at the College has to develop fast so as to reduce the inconvenience of the students to go to the city—St. Marth's Hospital. This is a report of the Medical Council of India dated 30th November 1978 and we see the word 'excellent'.

Sir, there is another small point. Wherever run Institutions are started and run by the other minority communities whoever they are run these institutions for their particular community and where people gain admittance no capitation fee is levied but members of the others communities who gain admittance into these institutions. Have to pay a capitation fee. Whereas in St. John's Medical College no capitation fees are levied. With these few remarks I do hope that Government will give very careful consideration to the question of affiliation Thank you very much.

SRI P. RAMDEV.—Sir, I would like to refer to the Karnataka Universities Act.—1976 ; ಈ ಆಕ್ಟ್‌ನ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 31ರಲ್ಲಿ ವಿತ್‌ಡ್ರಾಯಲ್ ಆಫ್ ಅಫಿಲಿಯೇಷನ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅಂದರೆ ವಿತ್‌ಡ್ರಾ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಏನೇನು ಕಂಡೀಷನ್‌ಗಳಿವೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಇಲ್ಲಿ ವಿತ್‌ಡ್ರಾ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. The question here is recommendation for affiliation. That means hon. Member Sri Fernandes was mentioning why every year ? Why should you not give permanent affiliation.

SRI P. RAMDEV.—Even then I just read sub-section (7) of Section 56 of the Karnataka Universities Act. It says "Where by an order made under sub-section (6), the rights conferred by affiliation are withdrawn in whole or in part or modified, the grounds for such withdrawal or modification shall be stated in the order".....

MR. SPEAKER.—That means to say only temporary affiliation was given and it was being renewed every year.

SRI P. RAMDEV.—Sir, if they want to make any modification the same has to be effected only after getting the recommendation from the University bodies. ಸರ್ಕಾರ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾನಿಲಯದವರು ಈ ನೋಟೀಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರು ಎಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನನಗೆ ಕ್ಲಾರಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. It is hereby notified that the student who are admitted to the 1st Year M.B.B.S. at St. John's Medical College, Bangalore, during the year 1980-81 are not eligible to appear for the examination since the affiliation of St. John's Medical College for the year 1980-81 has not been approved." ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ನೂರ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ. when once an affiliation is granted that continues till you disaffiliate.

SRI S. S. ARAKERI.—Affiliation would be to a particular subject. If they fulfil the conditions then the particular subject would be made permanent.

ಯೂನಿವರ್ಸಿಟಿ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಅಫಿಲಿಯೇಷನ್‌ಗೆ ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅದು ರಿನ್ಯೂ ಆಗದಿದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಕಾಲೇಜುಗಳು ಮುಂದುವರೆಯುತ್ತಿರುತ್ತವೆ. ಇದು ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ. ಅದೇರೀತಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಬಂದು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಏನಿದೆ, ಇದು 1976ರಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಗಿದೆ. ಡಾ. ತಿಮ್ಮೇಗೌಡರು ನನಗೆ ಹೇಳಿದಂತೆ, ಕೆಲವು ಸಲ 4-5 ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತೆ ಕೆಲವು ಸಲ 2-3 ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಗೊಮ್ಮೆ ರಿನ್ಯೂ ಆಗುವುದು ಸಹ ಉಂಟು. ಅಂದರೆ ಪ್ರತಿವರ್ಷವೂ ಸಹ ರಿನ್ಯೂ ಮಾಡದಿದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ 4-5 ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಗೊಮ್ಮೆ ಅಫಿಲಿಯೇಷನ್ ಕೊಡುವುದು ನಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿರುವಂತಹ ಬಂದು ವಾಡಿಕೆ. ಆದರೆ ಈ ಸಲ ಸಿಂಡಿಕೇಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಸೆನೆಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿಯಾಗಲೀ ಅಕ್ಯಾಡಮಿಕ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿಯಾಗಲೀ ಈ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಏನೂ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲ. ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರೆ, ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ತಮ್ಮ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೂ ಹೇಳಿಲ್ಲ. ಹಾಗೆ ನೋಡಿದರೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಬಂದು ಕಂಡೀಷನ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಫುಲ್‌ಫಿಲ್ ಮಾಡದಿರುವಂತಹ ಅನೇಕ ಕಾಲೇಜುಗಳು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರದಲ್ಲಿವೆ, ಇದೇ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ಈ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಅಫಿಲಿಯೇಷನ್ನನ್ನು ರಿನ್ಯೂ ಮಾಡಿ ಬಂದು ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಂ.—ಅದು ಕನ್ನಡರೇಷನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ರಾಮದೇವ್.—ಈಗ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ೬೦ ಕಾಲೇಜುಗಳಿವೆ. ಈ ಕಾಲೇಜುಗಳನ್ನು ಹೋಗಿ ನೋಡಿದ್ದೇ, ಆದರೆ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಅವುಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವಂತಹ ಅಫಿಲಿಯೇಷನ್‌ನನ್ನು ರದ್ದು ಮಾಡುವಂತಹ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಅಂದರೆ ಹೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವಂತಹ ಯಾವ ಫೆಸಿಲಿಟೀಸ್ ಸಹ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಕಾಲೇಜುಗಳು ಅಂದರೆ, ಅವು ಟೆಕ್ನಿಕಲ್ ಕಾಲೇಜುಗಳಾಗಬಹುದು, ಮೆಡಿಕಲ್ ಕಾಲೇಜುಗಳಾಗಬಹುದು, ಟೀಚರ್ ಟ್ರೈನಿಂಗ್ ಕಾಲೇಜುಗಳಾಗಬಹುದು ; ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಫೆಸಿಲಿಟೀಸ್ ಸಹ ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ ಅವು ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣ, ಆ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನವರು ಮತ್ತು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾನಿಲಯದವರು ಒಂದಾಗಿರುವ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ಎಂಬತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಇಂಪ್ರೆಷನ್ ಬರುತ್ತಿದೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಯೂನಿವರ್ಸಿಟಿ ವಿಚಾರ ನಮಗೇಕೆ ಬೇಕು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ರಾಮದೇವ್.—ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಗ್ರಿಗಳು ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಮಾರಾಟವಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದು ತಮಗೂ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ತಾವು ಆ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗುವುದು ಬೇಡ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಪಿ. ರಾಮದೇವ್.—ಹಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಬೇರೆ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡೋಣ. ಒಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಿಷ್ಟೆ, ಈ ಯೂನಿವರ್ಸಿಟಿ ಅಥಾರಿಟಿಗೂ ಮತ್ತು ಆ ಮೆಡಿಕಲ್ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನವರ ನಡುವೆ ಏನೇ ವರಸ ಬಂದಿರಲಿ, ಆದರೆ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಹಾಳಾಗಬಾರದಂಥ ಗಂಡಹುಂಡಿರ ಜಗಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೂಸು ಬಡವಾದಂತೆ, ಇವರುಗಳ ಒಂದು ವರಸದಲ್ಲಿ ಹುಡುಗರು ಹಾಳಾಗ ಬಾರದು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆ ಹುಡುಗರು ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗೆ ಕೂರಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಮ್ಮ ಮೂಲಕ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

† ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಸ್. ಅರಕೇರಿ (ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ).—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ಈ ಮೈನಾರಿಟಿ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಷನ್ ಕ್ಯಾರೆಕ್ಟರ್ ಏನಿದೆ, ಅದನ್ನು ರಾಜ್ಯಾಂಗದ ವಿಧಿನಿಯಮಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಪಟ್ಟವರು ಎಸ್ಕಾಬ್ಲಿಷ್ ಮಾಡಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ಇದೆ. ಆದರೆ ರಾಜ್ಯಾಂಗದ ವಿಧಿನಿಯಮಗಳ ಒಂದುಸಲ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ ಮಾಡಿದ ಮೇಲೆ when it comes under the generalization all the general rules would be made applicable to them also. ಈಗ ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗೆ ಹೇಳುವುದಾದರೆ, ಸೆಂಟ್ ಜಾನ್ ಮೆಡಿಕಲ್ ಕಾಲೇಜು ಏನಿದೆ, ಅಲ್ಲಿ ನನ್ನ ಒಂದು ಇನ್‌ಫರ್ಮೇಷನ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ, ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಪ್ರತಿವರ್ಷವೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕಂಥ ರೂಲ್ಸ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಆಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ನಾನೂ ಸಹ ೧೨ ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಎಲ್.ಇ.ಸಿ. ಚೇರ್ಮನ್ ಆಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಆ ಒಂದು ಅನುಭವದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಹೇಳಬೇಕೆಂದರೆ, they will have to fulfil the conditions prescribed under the Act. Then only the affiliation will be given or extended or renewed ಎಂಬತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ಮೇನೇಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್‌ನವರು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಕಂಡೀಷನ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಫುಲ್‌ಫಿಲ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ Under the Universities Act no college is eligible for permanent affiliation of a particular subject. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾನಿಲಯದವರು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದು ಎಲ್.ಇ.ಸಿ. ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಹಾಗೂ ಸಿಂಡಿಕೇಟ್ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್‌ನ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಲ್ಲ ; ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ೨೦ ಸಲ ಹೋಗಿ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದರ ಒಂದು ಅಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಫಿಲಿಯೇಷನ್‌ನ್ನು ಖಾಯಂ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೇ, ರಿನ್ಯೂ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೇ ಅಥವಾ ಟೆಂಪರರಿ ಯಾಗಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೇ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಒಂದು ಕಂಡಿಷನ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಅವರು ಫುಲ್‌ಫಿಲ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ ಅಥವಾ ಇಲ್ಲವೋ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ನೋಡ ಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದರೆ ತಮ್ಮದು ಮೈನಾರಿಟಿ ಕ್ಯಾರೆಕ್ಟರ್ ಎಂಬ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಕಂಡಿಷನ್‌ಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ವೇವ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ರಿಯಾಯಿತಿ ಕೊಡಿ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. They cannot plead or take plead on the point. ನನ್ನ ಒಂದು ಇನ್‌ಫರ್ಮೇಷನ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ಮೈನಾರಿಟಿ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಷನ್ ಏನಿವೆ, ಅಂದರೆ ಅವು ಕಾಲೇಜೇ ಇರಲಿ, ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಲ್ ಇರಲಿ,

ಮೆಡಿಕಲ್ ಕಾಲೇಜ್ ಇರಲಿ, ಅಲ್ಲಲ್ಲಾ ಹರಿದ್ವನ್ ಮತ್ತು ಗಿರಿಜನರಿಗೆ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಪ್ರಾತಿನಿಧ್ಯ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ ; ಹರಿದ್ವನ್ ಮತ್ತು ಗಿರಿಜನ್ ವಿವ್ಯಾಧಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಫೀ ರಿಯಾಯಿತಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಜನಾಂಗದವರಿಗೆ ಸೀಟ್‌ಗಳ ರಿಜರ್ವೇಷನ್ ಇಡುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ ; ಅಷ್ಟೇ ಅಲ್ಲ, ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವಂತಹ ಟೀಚಿಂಗ್ ಮತ್ತು ನಾನ್-ಟೀಚಿಂಗ್ ಸ್ಟಾಫ್ ಏನಿದೆ, ಅದರಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಸಹ ಹರಿದ್ವನ್ ಮತ್ತು ಗಿರಿಜನರಿಗೆ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಪ್ರಾತಿನಿಧ್ಯ ಕೊಡಲು ಪ್ರಾವಿಜನ್ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಯೂನಿವರ್ಸಿಟಿ ಆರ್ಕ್-ರೀಡ್ ಏನಿದೆ, ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಹರಿದ್ವನ್ ಮತ್ತು ಗಿರಿಜನರಿಗೆ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಪ್ರಾತಿನಿಧ್ಯ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಿಯಮವಿದೆ. ಇದರ ಜೊತೆಗೆ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಆಗಾಗ್ಗೆ ಅಜ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಹ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದರ ಜೊತೆಗೆ ನಮ್ಮ ರಾಜ್ಯಂಗದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಸಹ under the provisions of the Consitution of India the rules and polices are applicable in the matter of appointment of SC/STs for teaching and non-teaching cadres ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮೈನಾರಿಟಿ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಷನ್ ಇದನ್ನು ಫಾಲೊ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಇದು ದುರ್ದೈವದ ಸಂಗತಿ. and we are losing large number of jobs in these minority institutions whether it is high school or college. So, ಅದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇಂತಹ ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಮೈನಾರಿಟಿ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಷನ್ಸ್ ಕಂಪ್ಲಿಯಾಗಿ ಅನುಸರಿಸಲೇ ಬೇಕು. ಎರಡನೆಯದಾಗಿ, ಮೈನಾರಿಟಿ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಟಿಟ್ಯೂಷನ್ಸ್ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಂಡು ಯಾರೂ ಕೈಹಾಕಬಾರದು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದು ತಪ್ಪು. That is a wrong interpretation. Either the Supreme Court judgement or the provisions of the Consti-tution should not be interpreted when things go wrong. It is the Government which has the authority to interfere and set right things when they go wrong. They are taking shelter under the name of minority character. They have to adopt rules and regulations of the University and fulfilints conditions. Then, only they are entitled to have a affiliation. This is my pointed suggestion.

ಡಾ|| ಹೆಚ್. ಎಲ್. ತಿಮ್ಮೇಗೌಡ (ಬಸವನಗುಡಿ).—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ನಾನು ಸುಮಾರು ೧೨ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಯೂನಿವರ್ಸಿಟಿಯ ಸೆನೆಟ್ ಸದಸ್ಯನಾಗಿದ್ದೆ. ೬ ವರ್ಷಗಳು ಸಿಂಡಿಕೇಟ್ ಸದಸ್ಯನಾಗಿದ್ದೆ. ಸೆಂಟ್ ಜಾನ್ ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಗವರ್ನಿಂಗ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ಮೆಂಬರಾಗಿ ೨ ವರ್ಷ ಇದ್ದೆ. ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾನ್ ಫರ್ನಾಂಡಿಸ್ ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಯೂನಿವರ್ಸಿಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಕಾಲೇಜಿಗೆ ವಿಶೇಷವಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಫೀಲಿಂಗ್ ಬರುತ್ತಾ ಇದೆ, ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಬೇಸ್‌ಲೆಸ್ ಅಲಿಗೇಷನ್. ನಮ್ಮ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಯೂನಿವರ್ಸಿಟಿ ಆ್ಯನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಪರ್ಮನೆಂಟ್ ಅಫಿಲಿಯೇಷನ್ ಕೊಡುವುದು ಪ್ರಾವಿಜನ್ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಯೂನಿವರ್ಸಿಟಿ ಗಳು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಯೂನಿವರ್ಸಿಟಿ, ಮೈಸೂರು ಯೂನಿವರ್ಸಿಟಿ ಯಾವ ಕಾಲೇಜಿಗೂ ಪರ್ಮನೆಂಟ್ ಅಫಿಲಿಯೇಷನ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು. ಕೆಲವು ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಯು.ಜಿ.ಸಿ.ಯವರು ಪರ್ಮನೆಂಟ್ ಅಫಿಲಿಯೇಷನ್ ಅನ್ನು ಇನ್‌ಸಿಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನದಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಆಕ್ಟ್‌ನ್ನು ಅಮೆಂಡ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಡಿಸರ್ವಿಂಗ್ ಕಾಲೇಜಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರ್ಮನೆಂಟ್ ಅಫಿಲಿಯೇಷನ್ ಡಿಸರ್ವಿಂಗ್ ಕೊಡಬಹುದು. ಆದರೆ ಈಗಿರತಕ್ಕ ಪ್ರಾವಿಜನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಕಾಲೇಜಿಗೂ, ಯಾವ ಯೂನಿವರ್ಸಿಟಿಯವರೂ ಪರ್ಮನೆಂಟ್ ಅಫಿಲಿಯೇಷನ್ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಾವಿಜನ್ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಸೆಂಟ್ ಜಾನ್ ಮೆಡಿಕಲ್ ಕಾಲೇಜು ಮೈಸೂರು ಯೂನಿವರ್ಸಿಟಿಯಿಂದ ಅಫಿಲಿಯೇಟಾಗಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದದ್ದು ನಾನೂ ಕೂಡ ಕಳೆದ ವರ್ಷ ಲೋಕಲ್ ಎನ್‌ಕ್ಯೂರಿ ಕಮಿಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆನ್ಯುವಲ್ ಇನ್‌ವೆಕ್ಷನ್‌ಗೆ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದೆ. ಏನೇನು ಡಿಪೆಂಟ್ ಇದೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ತೋರಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ, ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಕೂಡ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ನಾವು ಇನ್‌ವೆಕ್ಷನ್‌ಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದಾಗ ಪ್ರಿನ್ಸಿಪಾಲರನ್ನು please give the information so that the Committee can take it into consideration. His reply was, till I get a letter from the Registrar I will not to give you any information. You can do

whatever you like. I am not here to give any information. Then, I said, we have not come here at your mercy. We have come here on behalf of the University to inspect and report. Then, the Registrar wrote a letter to the Principal to give all the information and the Committee asked the principal to meet these with the informations. But, at that time the principal was not there, he was on leave.

That information was never given till the Syndicate took a decision on it. I was a Member of the Syndicate at that time. By the decision of the Syndicate, the Principal was forced to furnish all the information. many of the deficiencies were pointed out. According to the Statute, all the teachers of affiliated colleges should be appointed by a Committee? approved by the Syndicate. No representative of the University has been taken as a Member of the Committee constituted for this purpose. Appointments were made by the management; they call some candidates whom they like appoint them. When the College was to be affiliated, first the Dean of the College had given an undertaking to the Mysore University that the service conditions of teachers etc., will not be chaged without the prior approval of the University. As they have not fulfilled these undertaking they have not been affiliated. The Bangalore University has made all teachers permanent. There are no temporary posts. Previously under the old Act, appointments were made on contract basis for five years. According to the new Act and as per the decison of the High Court all appointments are on permanent basis. Some colleges have accepted this and the University have affiliated those colleges. But, unfortunately, St. John's college authorities have gone on a writ petition on this. They have terminated the services of one of the most able Professors of Medicine Dr. G. N. Reddy. Some 8 to 10 teachers were also served with notices stating that their service will be terminated. Then, they gave representation to the University. At that time, I was a Member of the Syndicate. As a result of it, the University issued a direction to set it right. They retained some teachers and terminated some of them. This is how the administration is giving on and the management is co-operating with the University. I agree some of the departments they are very good. The hon. Member Sri Marle has said that there is a report from the Indian Medical Council stating that the Physiology Department in St. John's College is very good and excellent. I quite agree with it. But, I would like to say one thing. When Dr. Sharma and Mrs. (Dr.) Sharma, who the internationally famous physiologists, were there the Physiology Department was excellent. It is on account of their services only, it was excellent. According to the Ordinance which was issued at that time, the senior most professor

should be the Principal. The senior most professor was Dr. Sharma. But, the college management did not appoint Dr. Sharma as the principal. They brought somebody from Kerala and appointed him as its Principal. Then, the University issued directions not to appoint the principal till the legal Committee conducts an enquiry. They did not reply for it. Instead of replying to it, they have appointed the Principal. After that Dr. Sharma was directly or indirectly—forced to resign from the College. Both Dr. Sharma and Dr. (Mrs.) Sharma, who were responsible to get the appreciation certificate from the Indian Medical Council, have resigned. I would go a step further and say they were sent out of the college. Very very good professors are being sent out of the college. It appears that till today no qualified professor has been appointed to head the Department of Forensic Medicine. That is why the Local Enquiry Committee opined that the teaching material is inadequate. The St. Martha's Hospital is quite good; I agree with it and there is nothing wrong. According to Indian Medical Council, at least one third of the patients should be treated freely. They should be freely treated. That is not followed. Every patient in Martha's Hospital has to pay Rs. 3/-per Day. The bed strength is about 350, and it is inadequate, According to the norms of prescribed by the Indian Medical Council. So, we have pointed out the fact that the bed strength in St. Martha's Hospital is below the norms prescribed by the Indian Medical Council. We have also asked them to increase the bed strength. Of course, now, they have started their own hospital in the campus. They have started St. John's Medical College Hospital without even informing to the University. They did not write to University for approval of that hospital as a teaching hospital. They directly wrote to the Indian Medical Council. The University said, "you cannot write like that, we should come and inspect the hospital to find out whether the staff, equipment and all that are adequate and certify". Then they approached us later. What we found during our inspection is, that not even 25% of bed strength was occupied. The bed strength in St. Martha's College is not enough even to take 60% admissions.

2-30 P. M.

This St. John's Medical College has been given Rs. 8 crores of foreign aid i.e., about Rs. 5 crores from PL 480 funds from America and Rs. 2 crores from Germany, etc. The Government of India were approached to give their clearance to get the PL 480 funds by the College authorities when Sri K. K. Shah was the Minister for Health at the Centre. Sri K. K. Shah wrote to the College, Principal of the Governing Body i.e., Cardinal Gracias of Bombay, who was the Chairman of the governing body saying that the selection of students

to this college should be strictly on the basis of merit. The Cardinal Gracias of Bombay replied stating that he agrees with the proposal of Sri K. K. Shah. He wrote that letter on the basis of a Resolution passed in the governing body to the effect that all seats for this college will be filled up only on the basis of merit without any discrimination of caste, creed or religion. The Under Secretary to the Government of India, Health Department wrote a letter to the Dean of the St. John's Medical College about the letter from the Cardinal Gracias of Bombay, the Chairman of the Governing Body which states that all seats will be filled up only on the basis of merit and he asked the Dean of the College to implement it soon. The Dean of the College wrote to the Under Secretary about this and informed him that he would bring it to the notice of the Selection Committee to select the candidates strictly on the basis of merit only. In that year, I was also a Member of the Governing Council of the St. John's Medical College. We were not informed about this and were completely kept in dark. In that year, 40 seats were allotted for Catholic Christians and only 20 seats were given to non-Catholics which included the other Christians, Muslims, Hindus, Sikhs, Parsis etc. Myself and Sri Y. Ramachandra, former Minister were Members of the Syndicate of the Bangalore University and were also Members of the Governing Council of the St. John's Medical College on behalf of the University. There was one non-Catholic Protestant Christian student, who had taken more than 88% of marks. The Management did not agree to give him the seat in the Christian quota. Finally we had to select him out of the 20 seats which the Committee gave for non-Catholics. In the year 1975, 48 Catholic students were admitted and only 12 non-Catholic students were given seats in the College which included Hindus, Muslims, Parsis etc. In the year 1976, 45 students Catholic and only 15 students belong to other Christians, Hindus, Muslims, Parsis etc., were admitted. Even though the CBCI Society gave an undertaking to the Health Minister, Government of India to the effect that they will give all seats on the basis of merit without considering caste, creed or religion and this undertaking as I have already said was given based on the Resolution of the Governing Body of the CBCI Society, the undertaking was not kept up. Another matter I would like to bring to the notice of the House is, a meeting was called in the Chambers of the Chancellor i.e., the then Governor of our State. The meeting was attended by Cardinal Pichachy, the Chairman of the Governing Body of the CBCI Society and the Supreme Priest for Catholic in India, the Bishop of Chikkamagalore, the Dean of the College, an administrator of the College, the Management side, the then Minister for Health, Minister,

for Education, former Vice-Chancellor Sri Jayaraman, the Registrar of the Bangalore University, Dr. Y. P. Rudrappa and myself. I was called to attend that meeting as a Member of the Syndicate and I was also a Member of the Governing Council of the College. It was made very clear to His Holiness Cardinal Bishop Pichachy that nobody is interested in harming the College and everybody wants the college to be built up on sound lines. He was requested by all the people representing the Government to advise the college authorities to abide by the provisions of the University Act, Statutes, Ordinances, Rules and regulations and fulfill all the conditions of affiliation and the undertakings given by the Dean of the college of the University of Mysore. He was also requested not to raise the question whether this college should be treated as a Minority Institution or not, since the matter is pending in the Court for a decision. The Chancellor made a suggestion that two persons from the Management side should meet the Vice-Chancellor and Registrar and sort out the differences and come to an amicable settlement without insisting on the matter of treating the College as a minority institution. It was agreed to by Cardinal Pichachy and other persons who attended the meeting on behalf of the Management. But, later on they have gone back on their word. To-day I am very much sorry to see a Pamphlet bearing no name, making allegations against the Chancellor and also stating that the Chancellor is at the back of all these things. I would like to pass on this Pamphlet to the Hon. Speaker. As I have explained earlier, it was the St. John's Medical College authorities who wrote to the Bangalore University stating that Miss Srinivasan was in the waiting list as the first name and whether University has any objection to admit her as the last date for admission is over. The University replied that have no objection to admit her, subject to the condition laid down by the Indian Medical Council. The Hon. Speaker would kindly permit me to quote some of the decisions of the Supreme Court wherein these matters have been decided.

MR. SPEAKER.—It is not necessary.

DR. H. L. THIMME GOWDA.—My only request is that the College should agree to follow the provisions of the University Act, Statutes, Rules, Ordinance and Regulations. the undertakings they have given from time to time and they should not insist on the question of minority status of the institution till the Court gives a decision on this matter. When the meeting took place in the Chambers of the Chancellor, as I have already said the Hon. Minister for Education and the Hon. Minister for Health were also present. Even now, I request Sri Michael Fernandes to advise the College to follow the

provisions of the University Act, Statutes, Ordinance etc. and not to have any confrontation with the University.

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—Sir, the very important thing the Government should do is to permit the students to appear for examination. There may be a mistake on the part of the Management. It can be rectified later on. But, because of somebody's mistake the students should not suffer. After 18 years how can it be said that they should not be given recognition.

Sir, I am not an expert. But there are experts in the Syndicate and the senate. The Hon'ble Minister for Education Sri G. B. Shankar Rao, is also an expert and highly qualified namely M.A.L.L.B. He is a Sanskrit Pandit also. But, these experts have not done any thing for the last 18 years. They were in the Expert Committee judging the matters like affiliation, recognition of the colleges etc., What is their wisdom for all these 18 years?

DR. H.L. THIMMEGOWDA.—I will give you a clarification.

SRI C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—I have great respect for you. You can reply later on.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—Let them also investigate other colleges.

SRI C.M. ARUMUGHAM.—The Syndicate and senate are the expert bodies. They have got academic Council and everything. I am asking—what they were doing for all these 18 years so far as St. John's Medical College is concerned. If the provision is not there for giving permanent affiliation, they could have made it clear. Your Education and Law Departments have kept quiet for all these years and they have not done anything. I am unable to understand as to why you are making yearly recognition. For a Medical College of 18 years standard you are treating like this. As I have said, this, Medical College was established under Article 31 of the Indian Constitution. It is a matter of right and they have got every right to establish the College. But you will have to regulate the administration of the college. The Universities are there for all these years and if this college has violated the rules, you should have brought it to their notice and regulated the administration. Do you want your Local Inspection Committee to visit the colleges every year for giving affiliation? Is it not sufficient for the Committee to visit once and give affiliation for five years or to visit once in five years? Sir, this Medical College has been approved and recognised by the Indian Medical Council and they have got certain norms so far as the Medical College is concerned. Sir, in the written statement of the Hon'ble Minister for Education, it is said that the Staff and clinical facilities

are inadequate. What is your suggestion ? If you have suggested any solutions, what is the reaction ? You have also said that the statutes, ordinances and regulations of the Bangalore University are not fully complied with. Please tell us how ? In what manner they are not complied with ?

MR. SPEAKER.—That is why, a suggestion was made by Sri S.R. Bommai to discuss these things in the Chambers of the Hon'ble Minister for Education. Under Rule 63, we should have normally closed the discussion within thirty minutes. But we have taken one hour and forty five minutes.

SRI C.M. ARMUGHAM.—Sir this is a very sensitive issue. It touches the rights of the minorities.

MR. SPEAKER.—That is why we have devoted lot of time for this subject. The most important point is with regard to the remedy that should be given to the students. Regarding other things, you can discuss them in the chambers of the Hon'ble Minister.

SRI C. M. ARMUGHAM.—Sir, apart from that I have got something to say. According to their own claim, they have got about 127 teaching staff, in this Medical Collage and out of this strength, there are 23 Catholics. Like that I would appeal to than to give the reservations for the Scheduled Caste Scheduled Tribe people. It is for the Government to regulate if the college does not follow the Rules and Reservation policies. The recommendation of the Bangalore University not to grant temporary affiliation for the year 1980-81 is very odd to believe after 18 years. This is my submission You must make it a point that you are not against an institution which was established 18 years ago, you are not against a minority institution. Therefore, I agree with the suggestion placed by Sri S.R. Bommai that the Hon'ble Minister for Education must call the management to his chambers, discuss with them as to what they have not done and what they have not followed and regulate the matter. In the name of minority, the college cannot go out of the framework of the Constitution. It must function within the framework of the rules and regulations. But my point is that you are considering the recommendation of the University not to grant the temporary affiliation. It is not correct. I hope it is not the intention of the Government also. Whoever may be the students, please permit them to take the examination this year. If there is no provision and if the law does not permit to give permanent recognition, you should amend the statute, bring about an amendent so that you can give a permanent recognition. You should not go on giving temporary affiliation every year and making the college to run after you, to run after the

Vice-Chancellor, to run after the Local Enquiry Committee every year. Please see that something is done in this direction. I appeal to the Government and to the Hon'ble Chief Minister that these boys who are studying there should be permitted to sit for the examination.

SRI S. R. BOMMAI.—Sir, in the beginning itself I made a suggestion that it is a very sensitive issue and it should be discussed in the Chambers of the Hon'ble Minister for Education. In the reply of the Hon'ble Minister it is stated that (a) Stoff and clinical facilities are in-adequate (b) Statutes, ordinances and regulations of the Bangalore University are not fully complied with (c) Conditions of affiliation laid down by the Mysore University are not fully satisfied. If you apply these conditions strictly... ..

MR. SPEAKER.—Many colleges will not get recognition.

SRI S. R. BOMMAI.—If you apply these conditions strictly, not even a single college will be entitled for affiliation. I would like to know as to what is the staffing pattern in the Karnataka Medical College. Have you examined? How many posts are vacant and what is the ratio? I would like the Hon'ble Minister for Education to apply the same test to the Government Colleges also.

SRI G. B. SHANKARA RAO.—We have done it.

SRI S.R. BOMMAI.—You have not done it.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—The Government college students are going to St. John's Medical College, for their Library requirements and other things. Are you aware of this?

SRI S.R. BOMMAI.—Sir, I will not go into the details. The entire House agrees that the minorities' safeguards that have been provided in the Constitution are to be respected.

MR. SPEAKER.—Subject to the conditions that the rules and regulations are duly complied with as said by hon'ble Member Sri C. M. Armugham.

SRI S. R. BOMMAI.—Sir, this being a very sensitive issue, we want to make it very clear that we are here to protect the rights of the Minorities subject to the conditions and rules that have imposed by the Government, according to law. It is better that the Government instead of picking and choosing a particular college, the University should also apply the same test to all the colleges, whether Government colleges or private colleges. That being the case, I appeal to the Government that so far as the affiliation is concerned, let the matter be discussed in detail with the management authorities of not only this college but of the other colleges also. I would also

suggest that the staffing pattern and other conditions of the college, whether they are fulfilling the rules and regulations etc., may also be gone into while discussing the question. I would further appeal to the Government to announce its decision so far as these students are concerned immediately, so that their anxiety is over.

†SRI G.B. SHANKAR RAO.-I am not going to take much time. What I wanted to say has already been said by hon. members Sri Thimme Gowda and Sri S.S. Arakeri and also indirectly by Sri C.M. Arumugham who have got experience of having worked in the Syndicate as Members for a considerable number of years. They were also present when the question of affiliation of this College to the Bangalore University was hanging. So far as the examination are concerned, the College has to be affiliated to the particular University which conducts the examinations. This College comes under the jurisdiction of the Bangalore University and it is the Bangalore University which conducts the examinations for these boys. There are reasons for the Syndicate of the Bangalore University for not recommending the College for affiliation. They say that it was not recommended because of certain reasons. Some hon. members have raised the issue of 'minority character' of this institution. But this is a fundamental issue which has been in the Court now and it has to be decided by the Court. Till this issue is settled in the court, the provisions of the University Act and the rules and regulations and statutes framed under the provisions of the Act will have to be followed/observed by the concerned institutions if the institution wants to seek affiliation to the University. The Syndicate is perfectly in order in not recommending this particular college. There is a big list of the lapses and the deficiencies pointed out by the Syndicate. I do not want to mention all those things here. The report of the Syndicate contains them. Until and unless the deficiencies that are pointed out are complied with, it will be very difficult for the University to conduct examinations. The provisions relating to affiliation of any College to the University are under Section 53 of the Universities Act. According to the Act, while giving affiliation for the first time, the concerned institution gives an undertaking that certain conditions will be fulfilled after a definite period. So it is necessary to verify whether the conditions have been fulfilled or not. Every year the Inspection Committee has to go and inspect the institution and satisfy itself. This has to be done even when a fresh course is introduced. It is the duty of the University to send

† Indicates that remarks or speeches have not been revised by the member concerned.

inspection committee every year and based on the report of that Committee they have to see whether affiliation could be given or could not be given. So, the University is perfectly right when it says that the conditions have not been fulfilled. I do not want to go into the history of the College as to how it was established, what were the conditions or agreements.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDES.—Are they not relevant? They are certainly relevant.

SRI G.B. SHANKAR RAO.—So long as the question of minority character is under dispute in the court and till a decision is arrived at, the College has follow strictly the provisions of the Karnataka Universities Act. It has to observe the rules and regulations and statutes framed under the provisions of the Act. Otherwise it will be very difficulty for the University to say that this College deserves any affiliation. That is why they have not recommended. Even then we have not taken a decision yet. After discussions on both sides an understanding has been arrived at that two representatives of the institution and two representatives of the Government should sit together and thrash out the problems regarding the deficiencies pointed out in the report and the question of affiliation. Let them do that. As far as the question of allowing these students to appear for the examinations is concerned, we will take note of it and consider it. We are not so harsh. But the institution must also know that they should not go on just dodging. The reports have been there. Every time the inspection reports were sent to the College pointing out the deficiencies but they never tried to comply with the reports. Now they say that they are not at fault. It is not correct. Let them sit with the University authorities because the college is affiliated to the University. It is a statutory body. It is governed by rules and regulations and provisions of the Act. They cannot say that they are not bound by any rules and regulations. If the college is affiliated to the University, they are bound by the rules and regulations. They should go and sit with the University authorities and thrash out the problems. They should not be so adamant. That is not correct on the part of the institution. Even then we have allowed them to have a threadbare discussion with the Government representatives. Let them come and discuss the question of allowing the students to appear for the examinations. Based on that discussion we will take a decision. They say in all these 18 years these deficiencies are there. It is really wonderful. According to them these deficiencies are there every year. It means that these deficiencies were made known to them. As Sri Arungham said, so far as the reservation for scheduled

castes and scheduled tribes is concerned, they have to follow the Government Orders so long as the question of minority character is decided. It is for the College authorities to see how soon they comply with these deficiencies. We will also do our best to help the boys for appearing for the examinations. This is all I have to say.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDES.—I would like to make one point. In other call attention notices, we have not seen this kind of a debate. It is a good thing that lot of points have come out. But I do not take whatever has been said against the College per se. I cannot take up that position. One has not come prepared for that. The hon. Minister says that the College did not bother to reply to all these points.

MR. SPEAKER.—Went on dodging', he said.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDES.—I have here with a document in which the College keeps on repeating that on one occasion it sent seven reminders one after another and the report was not given.

3-00 P.M.

The latest report has also not been given. The College goes on saying and the Minister also keeps on saying that everything has been brought to their notice. One of the two must be a lie.

MR. SPEAKER.—That is why, he made a suggestion that representatives from College, University and the Government should sit and thrash out.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDES.—But the Damocle's Sword should not be hanging on the heads of students. I think the Hon. Minister must show some sympathy. I learnt that the Chief Minister, who is now present here, was sympathetic and said that the students be allowed for examinations and every thing else be looked into later on. Unless this assurance is given to the students there is bound to be some sort of commotion. I think it would be better advised to avoid this thing happening. I am only saying that the students be allowed for examinations and pull up the college if it is at fault. Let the affiliation issue be decided once and for all in some sensible manner. The students have right to appear for the examination having spent one year. They have passed competitive examinations and have secured high marks. They have come after five days of gruelling interview. I think a gesture can be made on this. It is not as if it is made in the past. Why adopt two different yardstick—one for this College and another for others. Is it just because this College is a minority institution.

SRI G.B. SHANKAR RAO.—No, no.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDES.—Are there not number of colleges which are defective in any ways? Are we stopping them—not only Medical Colleges but also Engineering Colleges. There are many cases that I can point out to you.

SRI G.B. SHANKAR RAO.—This is very simple. I have already made it clear that the representatives of College, University and the Government can come and discuss.

MR. SPEAKER.—Why not you do it to-day?

SRI G.B. SHANKAR RAO.—To-day evening or tomorrow, we can discuss.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—But the Examination is on 10th of August.

SRI G.B. SHANKAR RAO.—What were they doing all these days?

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—Why did the University take so long? Did not the University know that the College had not been given affiliation? They have written for affiliation in September last year.

MR. SPEAKER.—Mr. Fernandez, to-day itself you can discuss.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—I will say, it has been deliberately done by the University.

(INTERRUPTION)

MR. SPEAKER.—Please here. You cannot blow hot and cold at the same time. After all it is now 3 O'Clock. You can ask the authorities. You can right now go and discuss and take decision also. This is not fair. Let us observed some rules. Supposing for argument sake, by this evening if you could come to a conclusion, the boys will be helped. It is good.

SRI MICHAEL B. FERNANDEZ.—If there is some indication that a decision can be arrived at...

MR. SPEAKER.—The Minister has said that you can meet either to-day, tomorrow or at any time.

SRI S.R. BOMMAI.—As the Chair has suggested, let the representative of the institution and the Government meet to-day or tomorrow. By tomorrow evening the matter will be settled if they meet now.

MR. SPEAKER.—Let us go to the next subject. Matter Under Rule. 132 Mr. Bommai, we can take up this matter tomorrow because we have spent sufficiently long time in discussing affiliation issue.

SRI S.R. BOMMAI.—I have no objection.